

ANNEX 1 Rule Comparison Table

2019 / 2007 RULE COMPARISON TABLE

2019 Rule	Equivalent 2007 Rule/New Rule	Reason for change/addition
1 – CITATION AND COMMENCEMENT		
	1	No change save for dates.
2 - SCOPE		
2 (a)-(b)	New Rule	Provides a summary of the applications and complaints that these Rules will apply to.
3 – INTERPRETATION		
3(1)	2(1)	Mirrors Rule 2(1) with some updated wording to reflect changes since the 2007 Rules were made and new definition to provide greater clarity.
3(2)	2(2)	No change.
3(3)	2(3)	No change.
3(4)	2(4)	The definition of European lawyer may need to be amended but given the uncertainty about the timing of the UK leaving the European Union the Tribunal cannot as yet be certain as to the required wording and it is currently included in the alternative.

2019 Rule	Equivalent 2007 Rule/New Rule	Reason for change/addition
4 – THE OVERRIDING OBJECTIVE		
4(1),(2),(3)	New Rule	Incorporates Paragraph 2 of Practice Direction 6 with wording updated to reflect changes since the 2007 Rules and Practice Direction 6 (which was made in October 2013).
4(4)	New Rule	Mirrors wording of Paragraph 3 of Practice Direction 6 with more concise wording.
5 – STANDARD OF PROOF		
	New Rule	Specifies the standard of proof that the Tribunal will apply when determining applications under these Rules.
6- REGULATION OF PROCEDURE AND PRACTICE DIRECTIONS		
6(1)	21(1)	Mirrors 21(1) but with updated wording to provide greater clarity that the power is to be exercised within the provisions of the 1974 Act and any other enactment.
6(2)	21(2)	No change.
6(3)	21(3)	No substantive change; minor change to wording to clarify that the Panel must consider the changes to be appropriate.

2019 Rule	Equivalent 2007 Rule/New Rule	Reason for change/addition
6(4)	21(4)	Updated wording to clarify the authority on which Practice Notes and Practice Directions may be promulgated.
7– PRESIDENTS AND VICE PRESIDENTS		
7(1)	3(1)	Wording amended to provide greater clarity on the position of the President holding office at the date these Rules come into force.
7(2)	3(2)	Mirrors Rule 3(2) but wording amended to reflect the fact that the President is elected.
7(3)	3(3)	Mirrors Rule 3(3) but wording amended to reflect the fact that Vice Presidents are elected and to provide clarity about their terms of office.
7(4)	3(4)	Mirrors Rule 3(4) but wording updated to reflect requirement to send the Annual Report to the LSB in addition to the Society and the Master of the Rolls.
8– THE CLERK TO THE TRIBUNAL AND OTHER CLERKS AND STAFF		
8(1)	3(5)	Minor changes to wording to provide greater clarity.
8(2)	3(6)	Minor changes to wording to provide greater clarity.

2019 Rule	Equivalent 2007 Rule/New Rule	Reason for change/addition
8(3)	3(9)	Amended to give greater clarity to the Clerk's role and to remove references to being seconded to the Tribunal by the Law Society, reflecting changes since the 2007 Rules.
8(4)	3(7)	Minor changes to wording to provide greater clarity.
8(5)(a)-(b)	3(8)(a)-(c)	Amended to limit the application of these provisions to the Clerk to the Tribunal. Matters in relation to a clerk would be dealt with by the Tribunal's administration company in accordance with employment law and practice.
8(6)(a)	3(11)(a)	Mirrors Rule 3(11)(a) with minor changes to update and refine the wording.
8(6)(b)	3(11)(b)	Mirrors Rule 3(11)(b) with minor changes to update and refine the wording.
8(6)(c)	New Rule/Rule 11(3)	In the 2007 Rules there was provision for a clerk to make directions and undertake other specific tasks. It was implicit that clerks could in certain circumstances vary directions but this is now explicitly stated. The proposed new Rule is consistent with Rules 5 and 9 of Appeal Rules 2011.
8(6)(d)	New Rule/Rule 11(4)	Clerks have considered applications for adjournments made more than 21 days in advance of a hearing for many years. The inclusion of this provision provides clarification of power to determine applications for adjournments. The proposed new Rule is consistent with Rules 5 and 9 of Appeal Rules 2011.
8(6)(e)	New Rule	In order to ensure effective case management Clerk's Courts are held to consider non-compliance with the Tribunal's directions. The new Rule reflects the change in practice since the 2007 Rules were made.
8(6)(f)	3(11)(d)	Minor changes to wording to confirm that recording may be electronic or by other means.

2019 Rule	Equivalent 2007 Rule/New Rule	Reason for change/addition
8(6)(g)	3(11)(e)	Minor changes to remove obsolete wording.
8(6)(h)	3(11)(f)	Minor changes to refine wording.
8(6)(i)	New Rule	This provides for clerks to consider applications for substituted service. This will improve efficiency in cases where all parties seek substituted service.
8(6)(j)	3(11)(g)	No change save for replacement of “filing them with” to “sending them to” the Society.
9- COMPOSITION OF PANELS		
9(1), (2),(3)	4	Improves wording to provide greater clarity the procedure regarding composition of Panels. The wording is consistent with s.46(10) of the Solicitors Act 1974.
10 – FUNCTIONS EXERCISABLE BY A SINGLE SOLICITOR MEMBER		
10 (a)-(c)	New Rule	New provisions to allow single solicitor members to perform functions to improve efficiency and to make these Rules consistent with the Rule 5(4) of the Appeal Rules 2011 where applicable.

2019 Rule	Equivalent 2007 Rule/New Rule	Reason for change/addition
11 - APPLICATION OF THE RULES IN CHAPTER 1		
11(1)-(3)	New Rule	Rule added to clarify which of the 2019 Rules apply to which types of application – this will assist parties to the proceedings and in particular litigants in person who are not familiar with the Tribunal’s Rules.
12– METHOD AND FORM OF APPLICATION		
12(1)	5(1)	Meaning of the Rule unchanged but wording refined to improve clarity.
12(2)	5(2)	Meaning of the Rule largely unchanged but there is an addition of a requirement to serve the supporting documents with the Statement. This will assist with effective case management.
12(3)(a)-(c)	5(3)	Updated to add the requirement for a time estimate and a cost schedule to be provided (which is established practice) and to remove the need for 5 copies of the papers, replacing this with sufficient copies to avoid unnecessary production of paper bundles.
12(4)	New Rule	Added to provide clarity as to the requirements when making a lay application. This reflects established practice.
13 – CERTIFICATION OF CASE TO ANSWER		
13(1)	6(1)	Meaning of the Rule unchanged but wording refined to improve clarity.

2019 Rule	Equivalent 2007 Rule/New Rule	Reason for change/addition
13(2)	New Rule	Clarifies established practice that the initial solicitor member must certify if s/he considers that there is a case to answer.
13(3)	6(2)(a) and (b) and 6(3)	Consolidates the relevant 2007 Rules and clarifies circumstances in which the application should be considered by a Panel of 3 members. This reflects the existing practice and is included to ensure transparency.
13(4)	6(4)	Clarifies the procedure if the Panel decides there is no case to answer in respect of any of the allegations. This reflects the existing practice and is included to ensure transparency.
13(5)	6(5)	Meaning of the Rule unchanged but wording refined to improve clarity.
14 – SUPPLEMENTARY STATEMENTS		
14(1)	7(1)	Meaning of the Rule unchanged but wording refined to improve clarity. This is done by splitting Rule 7(1) into two new Rules – 14(1) and 14(2). Rule 14(1) provides for supplementary statements to be served.
14(2)	7(1)	Meaning of the Rule unchanged but wording refined to improve clarity. This is done by splitting Rule 7(1) into two new Rules – 14(1) and 14(2). Rule 14(2) provides for the service of a Statement and any new document relied upon.
14(3)(a)-(d)	New Rule	Added to bring the requirements to serve sufficient copies, revised time estimates, revised cost schedules and any proposed directions into line with the requirements for Statements served under Rule 12. This will assist with efficient case management.
14(4)	New Rule	Added to provide clarity as to the requirements of a lay application and to ensure the requirements in respect of supplementary statements in lay applications are clear.

2019 Rule	Equivalent 2007 Rule/New Rule	Reason for change/addition
14(5)(a)-(b)	7(2)	Meaning of the Rule unchanged but wording refined to improve clarity.
14(6)	7(1)	Confirms that Rule 13 applies to additional facts or matters on which the applicant seeks to rely or further allegations in support of the application in the same way as it applies to Rule 12 statements.
15 - APPLICATIONS IN RESPECT OF SOLICITORS' EMPLOYEES		
15 (a) and (b)	8(2)	Meaning of the Rule unchanged but wording refined to improve clarity.
16 - ADJOURNMENT OF APPLICATION PENDING LAW SOCIETY INVESTIGATION		
16(1) (a)-(b)	20 (a)-(b)	Meaning of the Rule unchanged but wording refined to improve clarity.
16(2)	New Rule	Clarifies the procedure following a referral under Rule 16(1).
16(3)	New Rule	Provides for a further adjournment of 3 months if the Society has not made a decision following the initial adjournment period. This reflects existing practice.

2019 Rule	Equivalent 2007 Rule/New Rule	Reason for change/addition
17 – APPLICATIONS FOR RESTORATION AND TERMINATION OF INDEFINITE SUSPENSION		
17(1)(a)-(c)	9(1)(a)-(c)	Meaning of the Rule unchanged but wording refined to improve clarity.
17(2)	9(2)	Meaning of Rule unchanged but wording revised to remove reference to Form 4 and replace with “prescribed form”.
17(3)	New Rule	Rule added to clarify the information required as part of the application.
17(4)	9(3)	Meaning of the Rule unchanged, minor changes to wording.
17(5)(a)-(b)	9(4)(a)-(b)	Meaning of the Rule unchanged, minor amendments to wording.
17(6)	9(5)	Rule amended to allow for circumstances in which no newspapers circulates in the applicant’s former area of practice and to add requirement that it be advertised by the Tribunal on its website. This change is proposed given the falling number of local newspapers and the practical issues encountered in recent applications.
17(7)	9(6)	Amends the latest time by which anyone wishing to oppose the application must serve notice from 10 days to 21 days. This allows the parties sufficient time to consider any opposition to the application.

2019 Rule	Equivalent 2007 Rule/New Rule	Reason for change/addition
18– APPLICATION TO VARY OR REMOVE CONDITIONS ON PRACTICE		
18(1)-(4)	New Rule	Rules added to set out procedure for applications to vary or remove conditions on practice imposed by the Tribunal.
19 - APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF ORDER RELATING TO SOLICITORS EMPLOYEES OR CONSULTANTS		
19(1)	8(4)	Meaning of Rule unchanged but wording revised to remove reference to Form 4 and replace with “prescribed form”.
19(2)	8(5)	Clarifies the requirements of the application and adds the requirement to include documents in support. This will assist with effective case management.
19(3)	8(5)	Retains the requirement to serve the application on the Society and adds in the requirement for the Society to respond. This makes the process clearer and means a separate direction for a response is not required.
20 – STANDARD DIRECTIONS		
20(1)	New Rule	Adds Rule requiring the issuing of Standard Directions. The proposed Rule draws from Paragraph 4 of Practice Direction 6 and reflects established practice.
20(2)(a)-(i)	New Rule	Sets out the matters that may be included in the Standard Directions.

2019 Rule	Equivalent 2007 Rule/New Rule	Reason for change/addition
20(3)(a)-(c)	New Rule	Mirrors the matters set out in Paragraph 8 of Practice Direction 6 with revision of the wording to make it more concise.
20(4)(a)-(b)	New Rule	Defines “Answer” and “statement of readiness” – previously referred to in Paragraphs 7 and 12 of Practice Direction 6.
21 – CASE MANAGEMENT HEARINGS		
21(1)(a)-(b)	New Rule	Defines circumstances in which a Case Management Hearing (“CMH”) must be arranged.
21(2)	New Rule	Defines circumstances in which a CMH must be arranged.
21(3)	New Rule	Sets out method by which a CMH may take place to include a hearing in person, by telephone or other electronic means. This reflects established practice at the Tribunal.
21(4)	New Rule	Adds a requirement for the parties to have dates to avoid ready at CMHs to assist with effective case management.
21(5)	New Rule	Defines further circumstances in which a CMH may be arranged.

2019 Rule	Equivalent 2007 Rule/New Rule	Reason for change/addition
22– PROCEDURAL APPLICATIONS		
		Rule 22 sets out the framework for procedural applications within the proceedings. This is to ensure a more efficient approach to the making of applications, in turn enabling the Tribunal to process them more efficiently.
22(1)(a)-(b)	New Rule	Rule 22(1) sets out the method by which such an application must be made.
22(2)	New Rule	Sets out the requirement for written reasons to be provided for decisions on such applications. This will assist all parties.
22(3)	New Rule	Sets out the right to seek a re-determination of a clerk’s decision and the procedure that will be followed should that right be exercised.
22(4)(a)-(h)	New Rule	Defines procedural applications.
23 – ADJOURNMENTS		
23(1)	New Rule	Sets out the requirement for documentary evidence in support of adjournment applications. This Rule draws from the 2002 Policy/Practice Note on adjournments.
23(2)	New Rule	Sets out that applications made more than 21 days before the hearing will be dealt with by a clerk, drawn from Paragraph 7 of the 2002 Policy/Practice Note referred to above.

2019 Rule	Equivalent 2007 Rule/New Rule	Reason for change/addition
23(3)	New Rule	Sets out that applications made 21 days or less before the hearing will be dealt with by the Panel listed to sit on the substantive hearing. This reflects established practice at the Tribunal and the 2002 Policy/Practice Note.
24 – AMENDMENT OR WITHDRAWAL OF ALLEGATIONS		
	11(6)	No change.
25 - AGREED OUTCOME PROPOSALS		
25(1)	New Rule	Permits applications for submissions of proposed Agreed Outcomes. Provision for Agreed Outcome applications is currently made in the Standard Directions that are made when a set of proceedings is issued.
25(2)-(8)	New Rule	Sets out procedure for making an application for approval of an Agreed Outcome and way in which such an application will be processed/ considered. This reflects established practice.
26 – DISCLOSURE AND DISCOVERY		
26(1)	New Rule	Sets out the procedure for consideration of an application for disclosure. The proposed Rule draws from Practice Direction 2 and provides clarity for the parties.
26(2)-(4)	New Rule	Sets out the Tribunal’s powers in respect of making an order for disclosure and specifies what a party is required to disclose.

2019 Rule	Equivalent 2007 Rule/New Rule	Reason for change/addition
27 – SERVICE AND SENDING OF EVIDENCE AND BUNDLES		
27(1)(a)-(f)	New Rule	Rule added to provide consistency with Rule 19(1) of the Appeal Rules 2011 and to reflect established practice.
27(2)(a)-(b)	New Rule	Rule added to provide consistency with Rule 19(2) of Appeal Rules 2011 and to reflect established practice.
27(3)	New Rule	Adds the requirement for five copies of the hearing bundle to be provided no later 14 days before the hearing (unless otherwise directed), ensuring sufficient time to send the papers to Members. This reflects current practice.
28 – WRITTEN EVIDENCE		
28(1)	14(4)	No change
28(2)	14(2)	No change save for substitution of 21 days with 28 days and removal of reference to Form 6 and replacement with “prescribed form”. 28 days will allow for more effective case management.
28(3)	14(3)	No change save for substitution of 9 days with 7 days. The earlier time for compliance will allow for more effective case management.
28(4)	13(9)	Any applications for a witness summons in relation to matters before the Tribunal have been made to the High Court. The wording of the rule provides clarity that applications for a witness summons must be made to the High Court and not the Tribunal.

2019 Rule	Equivalent 2007 Rule/New Rule	Reason for change/addition
28(5)(a)-(b)	New Rule	Sets out procedure to be followed if a party wishes to rely on a Statement not served in accordance with Rule 28(2) so as to provide clarity to the parties. This provides clarity for the parties and reflects existing practice.
28(6)	13(6)	No change save for substitution of 9 days with 21 days. The earlier time for compliance will allow for more effective case management.
28(7)	13(7)	No change save for substitution of 6 days with 7 days. The earlier time for compliance will allow for more effective case management.
28(8)	13(8)	No change.
29 – CIVIL EVIDENCE ACT NOTICES		
29(1)	13(1)	No change to the meaning of the Rule but removes reference to the 1968 Act the relevant provisions of which have been repealed.
29(2)	13(2)	Amends the time for service of a Civil Evidence Act Notice to link it to the date for service of witness statements.
30 – EXPERT EVIDENCE		
30(1)-(6)	New Rule	The proposed new rules set out provisions in respect of the procedure for applications to adduce expert evidence. This is to bring the Tribunal's procedure more in to line with the Civil Procedure Rules and to provide clarity for the parties.

2019 Rule	Equivalent 2007 Rule/New Rule	Reason for change/addition
31 - INTERPRETERS AND TRANSLATORS		
31(1)-(2)	New Rule	New set of provisions setting out the procedure where a respondent or witness requires an interpreter.
32 - PREVIOUS FINDINGS OF RECORD (OTHER THAN PREVIOUS TRIBUNAL DECISIONS)		
32(1)	15(2)	Meaning unchanged but wording clarified to include a conviction anywhere in the United Kingdom.
32(2)	15(3)	Meaning unchanged but wording amended to clarify that this does not apply to previous judgments of the Tribunal.
32(3)	21(5)	No change.
33– ADVERSE INFERENCES		
33(a)-(b)	New Rule	Incorporates the Tribunal’s powers to draw adverse inferences currently set out in Practice Direction 5.

2019 Rule	Equivalent 2007 Rule/New Rule	Reason for change/addition
34 – PUBLICATION OF CAUSE LISTS		
34(1)	12(2)	Confirms that the Tribunal will publish a cause list. This reflects established practice.
34(2)(a)-(b)	New Rule	Makes provision for an application to be made to anonymise the cause list.
34(3)(a)-(b)	New Rule	Sets out procedure to be followed for the making an application under Rule 34(2) to provide clarity to the person making the application and to enable greater efficiency in processing it.
34(4)	New Rule	Sets out the procedure to be followed in processing such an application. This provides transparency as to how such applications will be determined.
34(5)	New Rule	Sets out the procedure if the Tribunal grants such an application.
35 – PUBLIC OR PRIVATE HEARINGS		
35(1)	12(3)	No change save for re-numbering of cross-references.
35(2)(a)-(b)	12(4)	No change save for minor amendments to improve clarity.

2019 Rule	Equivalent 2007 Rule/New Rule	Reason for change/addition
35(3)	New Rule	Sets out procedure to be followed for the making an application under Rule 35(2) to provide clarity to the person making the application and to enable greater efficiency in processing it.
35(4)	New Rule	Clarifies that an application to sit in private will, itself, be heard in private unless the Tribunal directs otherwise. This provides greater clarity to the parties.
35(5)(a)-(b)	12(6)	No change.
35(6)(a)-(c)	New Rule	Makes provision for the Tribunal to exclude a person from the hearing in limited circumstances.
35(7)	New Rule	This provision provides that witnesses yet to give evidence are to be excluded for the Courtroom unless parties agree or Tribunal directs otherwise. This reflects what generally happens in practice currently.
35(8)	New Rule	Clarifies that the Tribunal's decision will be announced in public even where the hearing was in public save in exceptional circumstances.
35(9)	New Rule	Makes provision for the Tribunal to make directions giving effect to its intention to anonymise the identity of any person.
35(10)(a)-(b)	New Rule	Makes provision for the Tribunal to make directions prohibiting disclosure of documents or information in certain, limited and specific circumstances.

2019 Rule	Equivalent 2007 Rule/New Rule	Reason for change/addition
36 – PROCEEDING IN ABSENCE		
	16(2)	No change to the meaning but the wording has been refined.
37– APPLICATIONS FOR RE-HEARING		
37(1)-(3)	19(1)-(3)	No change.
38– EVIDENCE AND SUBMISSIONS DURING THE HEARING		
38(1)	New Rule	Makes provision for the procedure for receiving evidence during the hearing to provide clarity for the parties.
38(2)	13(10)	No change but wording refined.
38(3)(a)-(e)	New Rule	Makes provision for the Tribunal to exercise its case management powers to give directions for the efficient presentation of evidence and submissions.
39 – RECORDING OF THE HEARING		

2019 Rule	Equivalent 2007 Rule/New Rule	Reason for change/addition
39(1)	3(11)(d)	Updates the Rule to clarify the methods of recording.
39(2)	New Rule	Makes provision for dealing with a request for disclosure of a hearing that was held in public. This reflects current practice.
39(3)	New Rule	Makes provision for dealing with a request for disclosure of a hearing that was held in private.
40 – DECISIONS		
40(1)	16(5)	The proposed Rule retains the wording set out of the first part of Rule 16(5) and reiterates that the Tribunal may announce its decision at the conclusion of the hearing or reserve its decision but either way it must be announced in public, subject to proposed Rule 35(8).
40(2)	16(5)	Re-words the second part of Rule 16(5), clarifying the provisions for a Judgment being provided to the parties.
40(3)	New Rule	Makes provision for a Memorandum to be issued following a Case Management Hearing to reflect current practice.
40(4)	New Rule	Clarifies that written reasons for decisions made during the course of the hearing will be contained within the Judgment. This provides clarity to parties who might otherwise expect interim written reasons during the hearing.

2019 Rule	Equivalent 2007 Rule/New Rule	Reason for change/addition
40(5)	New Rule	Makes provision for minor errors in a Judgment or Memorandum to be corrected. This in effect mirrors the 'slip rule' in civil proceedings.
41 – SANCTION		
41(1)	16(3)	Retains the first part of Rule 16(3) with no change to meaning
41(2)(a)	16(3)	Amends the second part of Rule 16(3) to clarify that the questions about previous findings at the Tribunal will only be asked if any of the allegations have been substantiated. This reflects current practice and procedure.
41(2)(b)	New Rule	Makes provision for the Applicant (if the Applicant is the SRA) to be asked about internal findings (if any). This has been included in response to a concern expressed by the Divisional Court that the Tribunal was unaware of previous findings made by the SRA against a Respondent.
41(3)	16(4)	Replicates Rule 16(4) but removes reference to submissions on costs, which are dealt with under Rule 43.
41(4)	New Rule	Makes provision for reference to the Guidance Note on Sanction ("GNS"). The GNS has been introduced since the 2007 Rules.
42 – THE ORDER		
42(1)	17(1)	Amended to include reference to Rule 40(1)

2019 Rule	Equivalent 2007 Rule/New Rule	Reason for change/addition
42(2)	17(2)	Removes provision for suspending the filing of the Order, clarifying that it takes effect upon announcement. This avoids any potential uncertainty as to when the Order should be filed and when it comes into effect.
43 – COSTS		
43(1)	18(1)	Meaning unchanged but wording refined to improve clarity.
43(2)	18(3)	Meaning unchanged but wording expanded to improve clarity.
43(3)(a)-(c)	18(4)(a)-(b)	Meaning of 18(4)(a) and (b) retained but provision added for the possibility of the making of a costs order where an appeal or interim application is unsuccessful.
43(4)(a)-(e)	New Rule	Makes provision for the approach to summary assessment of costs to provide clarity to the parties and to reflect current practice.
43(5)	New Rule	Makes provision to require a Statement of Means to be provided if a Respondent wishes to make representations as to costs. This reflects paragraph 13 of Practice Direction 6.
44 – SENDING AND SERVICE OF DOCUMENTS		
44(1)(a)-(c)	10(3)	Wording updated to achieve consistency with Rule 26(1) of the Appeal Rules 2011.

2019 Rule	Equivalent 2007 Rule/New Rule	Reason for change/addition
44(2)	New Rule	Achieves consistency with Rule 26(2) of Appeal Rules 2011 with references to fax numbers removed given that faxes are now obsolete.
44(3)	New Rule	Achieves consistency with Rule 26(3) of the Appeal Rules 2011 with the additional requirement that such notification is in writing.
44(4)	New Rule	Achieves consistency with Rule 26(4) of Appeal Rules 2011 but re-worded slightly to clarity that any recipient of a document sent by electronic means, not just one sent by the Tribunal, may request a hard copy.
44(5)	New Rule	Achieves consistency with Rule 26(5) of Appeal Rules 2011.
44(6)	New Rule	Achieves consistency with Rule 26(6) of Appeal Rules 2011 and adds a requirement for a Statement from the translator.
45 – DEEMED SERVICE		
	10(4)	Replaces Rule 10(4) with a table to provide clarity to the parties.
46 – SUBSTITUTED SERVICE BY THE APPLICANT		
46(1)(a)-(b)	10(5)	Clarifies the procedure for an application for substituted service.

2019 Rule	Equivalent 2007 Rule/New Rule	Reason for change/addition
46(2)	New Rule	Specifies who may determine an application for substituted service. This provides for the application to be determined by a panel, single solicitor member or clerk rather than having to be determined by a panel as is currently the case.
47 – CALCULATING TIME		
47(1)	New Rule	Achieves consistency with Rule 27(1) of the Appeal Rules 2011 with a minor amendment of the time to 4.30pm.
47(2)	New Rule	Achieves consistency with Rule 27(2) of the Appeal Rules 2011.
48 – REPRESENTATIVES		
48(1)	New Rule	Achieves consistency with Rule 28(1) of the Appeal Rules 2011.
48(2)	New Rule	Achieves consistency with Rule 28(2) of the Appeal Rules 2011 with an additional requirement that every other party is also notified as well as the Tribunal. This ensures that all parties are aware of the appointment of a legal representative.
48(3)	New Rule	Achieves consistency with Rule 28(4) of the Appeal Rules 2011.

2019 Rule	Equivalent 2007 Rule/New Rule	Reason for change/addition
48(4)(a)-(b)	New Rule	Achieves consistency with Rule 28(5) of the Appeal Rules 2011.
48(5)	New Rule	Achieves consistency with Rule 28(6) of the Appeal Rules 2011.
48(6)	New Rule	Achieves consistency with Rule 28(7) of the Appeal Rules 2011 with minor amendment to reflect new numbering of paragraphs.
48(7)(a)-(c)	New Rule	Achieves consistency with Rule 28(8) of the Appeal Rules 2011 but clarifies definition of “legal representative”.
49 – AMENDMENT TO THE 2011 APPEAL RULES		
	New Rule	Provides for consequential amendments to the Appeal Rules 2011 where they refer to the 2007 Rules.
50 – REVOCATION		
	22	Revokes the 2007 Rules in the same way that Rule 22 of the 2007 Rules revoked the 1994 Rules.
51 – TRANSITIONAL PROVISIONS		

2019 Rule	Equivalent 2007 Rule/New Rule	Reason for change/addition
	23	Sets out transitional provisions.